STATE OF HAWAII

HAWAII LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

In the Matter of

UNITED PUBLIC WORKERS, AFSCME, LOCAL 646, AFL-CIO and HAWAII GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES ASSOCIA-TION, AFSCME, LOCAL 152, AFL-CIO,

Complainants,

and

BENJAMIN J. CAYETANO, Governor, State of Hawaii; LAWRENCE MIIKE, M.D., Director, Department of Health, State of Hawaii; and THOMAS DRISKILL, CEO, Hawaii Health Systems Corporation, Department of Health, State of Hawaii,

Respondents.

CASE NOS.: CE-01-378a

CE-03-378b CE-10-378c CE-13-378d

ORDER NO. 1566

ORDER DENYING, IN PART, RESPONDENT'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION TO FILE AN ANSWER TO PROHIBITED PRACTICE COMPLAINT

ORDER DENYING, IN PART, RESPONDENTS' MOTION FOR EXTENSION TO FILE AN ANSWER TO PROHIBITED PRACTICE COMPLAINT

On December 8, 1997, Respondents BENJAMIN J. CAYETANO, Governor, State of Hawaii; LAWRENCE MIIKE, Director, Department of Health, State of Hawaii; and THOMAS DRISKILL, CEO, Hawaii Health Systems Corporation, by and through their counsel, filed a motion for extension to file an answer to the prohibited practice complaint with the Hawaii Labor Relations Board (Board). Respondents request an extension from December 1997 8, December 29, 1997 to file an answer with the Board. Respondents! counsel states in an affidavit filed in support of the motion that he seeks an extension to permit Respondents to consult with Complainants to possibly resolve settle this or

Respondents' counsel further states that he has not spoken to Complainant's counsel but left a message with his office.

On December 10, 1997, Complainants, by and through their counsel, filed a memorandum in opposition to Respondents' motion for extension to file their answer with the Board. Complainants' counsel states in an affidavit submitted in support of the motion that Respondents have taken no action to consult with the Complainants to resolve this matter. Complainants contend that Respondents have failed to establish extraordinary circumstances to extension to answer in this case. Complainants contend that the employees of the Hilo Day Activity Center will be prejudiced by any delay and such delay would disrupt the timing of the hearing. Finally, Complainants contend that nothing prevents the parties from discussing possible settlement prior to or at the prehearing conference. Thus, Complainants arque that Respondents' motion is without merit and should be denied.

Upon reviewing the record in this case, the Board finds that Respondents' answer was due on December 8, 1997 and on that date Respondents filed a motion with the Board for a 21-day extension of time to answer the complaint. In light of Complainant's arguments in opposition to the Respondents' motion, the Board denies Respondents' motion for a 21-day extension of time to file an answer. However, as the time for filing Respondents' answer is now past, the Board will grant Respondents an extension until December 15, 1997 to answer the instant complaint.

UNITED PUBLIC WORKERS, AFSCME, LOCAL 646, AFL-CIO and HAWAII GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES ASSOCIATION, AFSCME, LOCAL 152, AFL-CIO and BENJAMIN J. CAYETANO, Governor, State of Hawaii; et al.; CASE NOS.: CE-01-378a, CE-03-378b, CE-10-378c, CE-13-378d ORDER NO. 1566

ORDER DENYING, IN PART, RESPONDENT'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION TO FILE AN ANSWER TO PROHIBITED PRACTICE COMPLAINT

DATED: Honolulu, Hawaii, December 10, 1997

HAWAII LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

BERT M. TOMASU, Chairperson

RUSSELL T. NIGA Board Member

CHESTER C. KUNITAKE, Board Member

Copies sent to:

Herbert R. Takahashi, Esq. Kris N. Nakagawa, Deputy Attorney General Joyce Najita, IRC