STATE OF HAWAII

HAWAII LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

In the Matter of

CASE NO. CE-01-114

LARRY B. ANGEL.

ORDER NO. 668

Complainant,

ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR PARTICULARIZATION OF COM-PLAINT

and

FRANK F. FASI, Mayor, City and County of Honolulu; and DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION, City and County of Honolulu,

Respondents.

ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR PARTICULARIZATION OF COMPLAINT

On January 5, 1988, Respondents FRANK F. FASI, Mayor, City and County of Honolulu, and the DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION, City and County of Honolulu [hereinafter referred to as Respondents], by and through their attorneys, Richard D. Wurdeman, Corporation Counsel, and Sandra A. Simms, Deputy Corporation Counsel, filed a Motion for Particularization of Complaint in the above-entitled case, together with a supporting affidavit.

Upon review of the aforesaid motion and affidavit and the charges set forth in the complaint, the Board concludes that particularization of the complaint as requested in Respondents' motion should be granted pursuant to Administrative Rules § 12-42-45(b).

The Board directs the Complainant to file with this Board the original and five (5) copies of the requested particularization, with proof of service upon Respondents, no later than 4:30 p.m. of the fifth working day after service of this order. The particularization should specify the actions of Respondents which allegedly violate Complainant's rights under Chapter 89, Hawaii Revised Statutes, and what collective bargaining contract provisions have been violated in the instant matter. In the particularization, the Complainant shall, to the best of his ability, specify relevant facts as to names, dates, and acts that are alleged to be improper.

If Complainant fails to timely file and serve the particularization, the Board shall dismiss the subject prohibited practice complaint.

Respondents are directed to file with this Board the original and five (5) copies of the answer, with proof of service upon Complainant, no later than 4:30 p.m. of the fifth working day after service of Complainant's particularization. The failure of Respondents to timely file their answer shall constitute an admission of the material facts alleged in the complaint and particularization and a waiver of a hearing.

DATED: Honolulu, Hawaii, January 22, 1988

HAWAII LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

MACK H. HAMADA, Chairperson

LARRY B. ANGEL vs. FRANK F. FASI, Mayor, City and County of Honolulu; and DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION, City and County of Honolulu ORDER NO. 668
CASE NO. CE-01-114
ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR PARTICULARIZATION OF COMPLAINT

JAMES R. CARRAS, Board Member

GERALD K. MACHIDA, Board Member

Copies sent to:

Larry B. Angel Sandra A. Simms, Deputy Corporation Counsel Joyce Najita, IRC