order

STATE OF HAWAII

HAWAII LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

In the Matter of

UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
PROFESSIONAL ASSEMBLY,

Complainant,

and

BOARD OF REGENTS, University
of Hawaii,

Respondent.

CASE NO. CE-07-124

ORDER NO. 726

PARTICULARIZATION FOR PARTICULARIZATION OF COMPLAINT

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR PARTICULARIZATION OF COMPLAINT

On May 25, 1989, Respondent BOARD OF REGENTS of the University of Hawaii [hereinafter referred to as Respondent], by and through its attorney, filed with this Board a Motion for Particularization of Complaint, together with a supporting affidavit.

Respondent, in its Motion for Particularization, requests that Complainant specify the precise documentation reflecting improper promulgation of Executive Policy E11.201, regarding Illegal Drugs and Substance Abuse. Moreover, Respondent requests specific details of the incidents surrounding receipt of the individual agreements. Respondent further requests that Complainant specify whether the University of Hawaii offered to consult with Respondent on the drug free workplace policies.

Upon examination of the Complaint in this case, the Board believes that it is in conformance with Administrative Rules § 12-42-42. The Board believes that the Complaint is sufficiently clear as to what actions of Respondent are alleged to be prohibited practices so that a particularization is not necessary. The Board further believes that whether consultation was offered on matters relevant herein is unnecessary to frame a proper prohibited practice complaint.

The Motion for Particularization of Complaint is hereby denied.

DATED: Honolulu, Hawaii, ____ June 9, 1989

HAWAII LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

BERT M. TOMASU, Chairperson

JAMES R. CARRAS. Board Member

GERALD K. MACHIDA, Board Member

Copies sent to:

J. N. Musto, Ph.D. Lawrence D. Kumabe, Deputy Attorney General Joyce Najita, IRC