STATE OF HAWAII

HAWAII LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

In the Matter of

UNITED PUBLIC WORKERS, AFSCME, LOCAL 646, AFL-CIO,

Complainant,

and

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS, DIVISION OF WASTEWATER MANAGE-MENT, TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL BRANCH, CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU,

Respondent.

CASE NO. CE-01-178

ORDER NO. 918

ORDER GRANTING EXTENSION
OF TIME TO FILE ANSWER;
NOTICE OF PREHEARING CONFERENCE AND HEARING ON
PROHIBITED PRACTICE COMPLAINT

ORDER GRANTING EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE ANSWER; NOTICE OF PREHEARING CONFERENCE AND HEARING ON PROHIBITED PRACTICE COMPLAINT

On November 25, 1992, the UNITED PUBLIC WORKERS, AFSCME, LOCAL 646, AFL-CIO (UPW) filed a prohibited practice complaint with the Hawaii Labor Relations Board (Board). The UPW alleges that on or about August 11, 1992 and/or August 18, 1992, agents of Respondent DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS, DIVISION OF WASTEWATER MANAGEMENT, TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL BRANCH, CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU (CITY) created and circulated documents to its Unit 1 employees to be signed and forwarded to the UPW. Complainant alleges that the CITY's actions constitute violations of §§ 89-13(a)(2) and (7), Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS).

On December 17, 1992, Respondent CITY, by and through its attorneys, filed a Request for First Extension of Time to File Answer with the Board. The CITY requests an extension of time from

December 11, 1992 to December 18, 1992 to file an answer. The affidavit of Respondent's counsel Deputy Corporation Counsel Arnold T. Abe attached to the request indicates that he was assigned to the case on the date the answer was due. He contacted counsel for Complainant who had no objections to an extension of time through December 18, 1992 for the CITY to file an answer.

For good cause shown, Respondent CITY's request for an extension up to December 18, 1992 to file its answer is hereby granted.

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that the Board, pursuant to § 89-5(b)(4), HRS, and Administrative Rules § 12-42-47, will conduct a prehearing conference on the above-entitled prohibited practice complaint on January 21, 1993 at 10:00 a.m. in the Board's hearings room, Room 203, 550 Halekauwila Street, Honolulu, Hawaii. The purpose of the prehearing conference is to arrive at a settlement or clarification of issues, to identify and exchange witness and exhibit lists, if any, and to the extent possible, reach an agreement on facts, matters or procedures which will facilitate and expedite the hearing or adjudication of the issues presented. The parties shall file a Prehearing Statement which addresses the foregoing matters with the Board two days prior to the prehearing conference.

YOU ARE ALSO NOTIFIED that the Board will conduct a hearing, pursuant to §§ 89-5(b)(4) and 89-14, HRS, and Administrative Rules §§ 12-42-49 and 12-42-8(g) on the instant complaint on January 28, 1993 at 9:00 a.m. in the above-mentioned hearings room. The purpose of the hearing is to receive evidence and arguments on

whether Respondent committed prohibited practices as alleged by the Complainant. The hearing may continue from day to day until completed. At the hearing, the parties shall submit four copies of any exhibits identified and introduced into the record to the Board. Additional copies for opposing counsel shall also be provided.

All parties have the right to appear in person and to be represented by counsel or other representative.

DATED: Honolulu, Hawaii, January 7, 1993

HAWAII LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

BERT M. TOMASU, Chairperson

GERALD K. MACHIDA, Board Member

RUSSELL T. HIGA, Board Member

Copies sent to:

Peter Liholiho Trask, Esq. Arnold T. Abe, Deputy Corporation Counsel Herbert R. Takahashi, Esq. Joyce Najita, IRC