This report is the Employment and Training Administration’s (ETA) assessment of PY 2018 WIOA performance results for Hawaii. Performance is assessed using state negotiated levels of performance, actual levels of performance, actual participant characteristics and economic conditions within a state, and estimates of the statistical adjustment models for PY 2018. Since the amount of WIOA data available is currently limited, there are some limits in the conclusions that can be drawn from this assessment. WIA data (2015 and prior) were used to derive proxy estimates from the statistical adjustment models. Then, once we received PY 2018 participant data, those proxy model estimates were used to adjust the PY 2018 negotiated levels of performance. The adjusted levels of performance were then compared against the actual levels of performance of states to get the individual indicator scores and overall indicator and program scores.

Some things to note about this performance assessment:

- The model estimates are only proxy estimates since they were generated using only WIA data as a proxy.
- Indicator scores for the Measurable Skill Gains indicator could not be evaluated because there is no WIA data that can be used as a proxy. Performance for the Measurable Skill Gains indicator will first occur for PY 2020.
- Median Earnings 2nd Quarter after Exit for the Youth program could not be assessed because an adequate proxy WIA model could not be developed due to the lack of necessary data under WIA.
- The participant characteristic variables related to the Education Functioning Level (i.e., eflpre, eflprescore, and eflpostscore) were held constant because the reporting structure for EFL changed from WIA to WIOA.
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The figures here give an overview of the performance results for Hawaii in PY 2018 using the proxy model estimates to get performance scores as discussed in About. The data table shows the summary data related to these figures.

State Performance Scores State Comparison Data Table

The figures below show the performance scores for Hawaii. The color of the state map (i.e., green for performance success or red for performance failure) indicates if Hawaii had any type of performance failure. The boxes on the right show the overall state indicator scores and overall state program scores. There is a performance failure if any overall score is below 90%.

Hawaii
Motto: Ua Mau ke Ea o ka 'ina i ka Pono
(The life of the land is perpetuated in righteousness)

Overall State Indicator Scores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q2ER</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME</td>
<td>109%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4ER</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRED</td>
<td>114%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall State Program Scores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DW</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WP</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The figure below shows the individual indicator scores for each program. The scores are calculated by dividing the actual level of performance by the adjusted level of performance (the values used in the calculation are shown in the box). A failure occurs if an individual indicator score is below 50%.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Indicator</th>
<th>Adult</th>
<th>Dislocated Worker</th>
<th>Youth</th>
<th>Wagner-Peyser</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employment Rate 2nd Quarter after Exit</td>
<td>68% / 44.2% / 64.9%</td>
<td>70% / 49.5% / 70.2%</td>
<td>94% / 59.1% / 62.7%</td>
<td>61% / 37.5% / 61.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median Earnings 2nd Quarter after Exit</td>
<td>$5,387 / $5,719</td>
<td>$7,962 / $6,238</td>
<td>$5,867 / $5,808</td>
<td>$5,847 / $5,808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment Rate 4th Quarter after Exit</td>
<td>94% / 66.7% / 69.1%</td>
<td>128% / 65.6% / 71.8%</td>
<td>96% / 46.5% / 48.6%</td>
<td>104% / 40.8% / 58.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credential Attainment Rate</td>
<td>96% / 62.5% / 65.4%</td>
<td>91% / 65.3% / 62.7%</td>
<td>101% / 60.4% / 59.9%</td>
<td>70% / 40.8% / 58.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>